DISCUSSION PAPERS IN ECONOMICS

Working Paper No. 04-11

The Current Account and the Interest Di erential In Canada

Martin Boileau and Michel Normandin

October 2004

Abstract_

For post-1975 Canadian data, we document the joint behavior of output, the current account, and the interest di erential at the business cycle frequency. We also interpret the joint behavior using a simple small open economy model. Our simple model assumes that agents have access to world international financial markets, but face country-specific interest rate on their holdings of world assets. The interest di erential depends negatively on the country's net foreign asset position. We find that our simple model matches the Canadian data remarkably well.

JEL Classification Codes: E32, F41, G15. *Keywords:* International Real Business Cycle, Small Open Economy, Habit Formation.

Boileau: Department of Economics and CIRPÉE, University of Colorado, 256 UCB, Boulder CO 80309 USA. Tel.: 303-492-2108. Fax: 303-492-8960. E-mail: martin.boileau@colorado.edu. Normandin: Department of Economics and CIRPÉE, HEC Montréal, 3000 Chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine, Montréal Que. H3T 2A7 Canada. Tel.: 514-340-6841. Fax: 514-340-6469. E-mail: michel.normandin@hec.ca.

1. Introduction

The analysis of the current account and the real interest rate di erential have been important enterprises. From a policy maker's point of view, the current account is important, because it provides information about the amount of foreign resources that must be borrowed to fund domestic investment, and as such it informs on the changes in foreign indebtedness. The interest di erential is important, because they yields information on the real cost of borrowing at home, relative to the real cost of borrowing abroad. It is generally agreed that (monetary) stabilization policies must alter the interest di erential to a ect the course of the business cycle in open economies.

Interestingly, the vast majority of academic studies ignore the relation between the current account and the interest di erential. This is surprising, because current accounts and interest rates should jointly adjust to ensure the equilibrium of the world capital market. Instead, most of the literature on the current account aims to either test the intertemporal approach to the balance of payments (which generally assumes a constant interest rate) or to test the extent of international capital mobility. Likewise, most of the literature on the interest di erential aims at testing real interest parity and at investigating the role played by the real exchange rate.

There are some notable exceptions. The empirical studies of Bernhardsen (2000) and Lane and Milesi-Ferreti (2002) do link the current account and the interest di erential. Using panel data for 12 European countries, Bernhardsen (2000) finds that a deterioration in the current account raises the interest di erential. Using panel data for 66 countries, Lane and Milesi-Ferreti (2002) find that the interest di erential is inversely related to the net foreign asset position. This suggests that a deterioration of the current account that worsens the net foreign asset position raises the interest di erential. Our own previous theoretical work, Boileau and Normandin (2004), studies the relation between the business cycle fluctuations of the current account and those of the interest di erential. We show that a simple multi-country model where international financial markets are incomplete and costly to operate yields an interest di erential that is inversely related to the net foreign asset position. We also show that our multi-country model provides a good description of the relation between the current account and the interest di erential in 10 developed countries.

In this paper, we study the joint business cycle fluctuations of output, the current account, and the interest di erential in post-1975 Canadian data. It is often argued that the Canadian economy is better represented as a small open economy rather than a large economy. If this is the case, our two-country model might not apply to the Canadian case. For this reason, we study a small open economy model of Canada similar to those in Letendre (2004) and Nason and Rogers (2002). The small open economy is populated by a representative consumer, a firm, and a government. Agents in the small open economy have access to world international financial markets. In using these markets, agents generate movements in the current account. In their international financial transactions, however, agents face a country-specific real return on their holdings of (world) foreign assets. The di erence between the country-specific return and the world return is the interest di erential.

We study three versions of the small open economy model. The first version uses our baseline parametrization. It assumes that the interest di erential depends exclusively on the net foreign asset position. As in Senhadji (1997), we assume that a worsening of the small open economy's net foreign asset position raises the country-specific return above the world return and thus raises the interest di erential. That is, agents in the small open economy face an upward sloping supply of foreign funds. When the small open economy borrows on financial markets (a current account deficit), it can do so at an increasing cost of borrowing. This assumption is supported by the empirical work on capital flows by Lane and Milesi-Ferreti (2002).

The second version uses the debt-output ratio parametrization. The Debt-Output Ra-

improves the ability to support a higher foreign debt and reduces the foreign premium or interest di erential.

Finally, the last version uses the habit formation parametrization. The Habit Formation version modifies the Baseline version by assuming that the consumer's preferences exhibit habit formation. We study this version of consumer's preferences because it has been shown important in understanding asset returns and the business cycle (see for example Boldrin, Christiano, and Fisher 2001). Habit formation is often perceived as essential to explain observed asset returns. It would then seem an important component to explain the interest di erential.

We find that the Baseline version of the model o ers a good description of the joint business cycle features of output, the current account, and the interest di erential for post-1975 Canadian data. In particular, the Baseline version correctly predicts that the current account and the interest di erential are less volatile than output, and that the current account is countercyclical while the interest di erential is procyclical. The Baseline version also correctly predicts the shape of the cross-correlation functions between the current account and the interest di erential, between output and the current account, and between output and the interest di erential. Importantly, it correctly predicts that correlations between lags of the current account and the interest di erential are negative, while the correlations between leads of the current account and the interest di erential are positive. This asymmetric shape of the cross-correlation function resembles a horizontal S. This S-curve encompasses the negative relation between the current account and the interest di erential discussed in Bernhardsen (2000), Boileau and Normandin (2004), and Lane and Milesi-Ferreti (2002). Admittedly, the Baseline version is not perfect. In particular, it underpredicts the relative volatility of the current account and overpredicts the relative volatility of the interest di erential.

In contrast, we find that the Debt-Output Ratio version and the Habit Formation version do not o er a good description. The Debt-Output Ratio version incorrectly predicts that the interest di erential is almost as volatile as output and countercyclical. The Habit Formation version of the model also incorrectly predicts that the interest di erential is almost as volatile as output. In addition, it incorrectly predicts that the current account is procyclical.

Overall, our Baseline version of the small open economy model o ers the best description of the business cycle fluctuations of output, the current account, and the interest di erential in post-1975 Canadian data. Our results contrast with those in earlier work in two directions. First, the Baseline model is driven almost exclusively by productivity shocks. That is, government expenditures and world real interest rate shocks play only a small role. This contrasts with Nason and Rogers (2002) who argue that government expenditures and world real interest rate shocks are important to explain the Canadian experience. Second, the Baseline model assumes that the interest di erential is inversely related to simply the net foreign asset position. This contrasts with Boileau and Normandin (2004) where the di erential is as in the Debt-Output Ratio version of the model.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the small open economy model of Canada. The three versions of the model correspond to three distinct parametrizations. Section 3 presents simulation results for the three versions of the small open economy model. We first study the dynamic responses of output, the current account, and the interest di erential to the various shocks in the model. We then study the business cycle statistics generated by the three versions of the model, and we compare these statistics to those of post-1975 Canadian data. Finally, we study the robustness of these results for the Baseline model. Section 4 concludes.

2. A Small Open Economy Model

In this section, we develop the small open economy model and discuss its parametrization. The economy is that of a small country open to world financial markets. Financial markets, however, are incomplete. In addition, the agents in the small open economy face a countryspecific interest rate on their net holdings of foreign (world) assets.

2.1 The Model

The small country is populated by a representative consumer, whose expected lifetime

utility is given by

$$E_{t} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} {}^{t} U(C_{t} - C_{t-1}, N_{t}) , \qquad (1)$$

where E_t is the conditional expectation operator, C_t is consumption, N_t is hours worked, and 0 < < 1. Similarly to Letendre (2004), we employ GHH preferences (Greenwood, Hercowitz, and Hu man 1988):

$$U(C_t - C_{t-1}, N_t) = C_t - C_{t-1} - (/)N_t /, \qquad (2)$$

where 1, 0, > 0, and > 1. Importantly, these preferences exhibit habit formation only when > 0. GHH preferences play an important role in international business cycle studies. Specifically, Correia, Neves, and Rebelo (1995) show that GHH preferences promote a countercyclical trade balance.

The production technology is constant return to scale in its inputs:

$$Y_t = Z_t \mathcal{K}_t \ \mathcal{N}_t^{1-} \quad , \tag{3}$$

where Y_t is output, Z_t is the level of total factor productivity, K_t is the capital stock, and 0 < -1. Capital accumulation follows

$$K_{t+1} = I_t + (1 -)K_t - {}_t K_{t'}$$
(4)

where I_t is investment and 0 < < 1. The term t denotes adjustment costs:

$$_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{I_{t}}{K_{t}} - \frac{1}{K_{t}}, \qquad (5)$$

where 0. Investment is costly only when > 0. As in Baxter and Crucini (1995), we use adjustment costs mainly to contain the re lative volatility of investment.

where R_t is the country-specific gross return on world assets and G_t is government expenditures. For simplicity, the government runs a balanced budget, funding its expenditures with nondistortionary (lump-sum) taxes.

The country-specific return R_t di ers from the world return by

$$D_t = R_t - R_t^{W}, \tag{8}$$

where D_t is the real interest di erential and R_t^w is the world return. As in Boileau and Normandin (2003), Nason and Rogers (2002), and Uribe and Schmitt-Grohé (2003), we model the di erential as a function of the net foreign asset position:

$$D_t = -B_t / Y_t , \qquad (9)$$

where 0 and 0. There is no di erential when = 0. Also, the interest di erential is only a function of the net foreign asset position when = 0. The interest di erential is a reduced form formulation to obtain an upward sloping supply of foreign funds. As in Senhadji (1997), this may occur because of an otherwise uncaptured risk premium. As in Boileau and Normandin (2003), it may also occur because international financial markets are costly to operate.

The model has three shocks: productivity Z_t , government expenditures G_t , and the world return R_t^W . The shocks are generated by

$$Z_t = {}_Z Z_{t-1} + {}_{Zt}, (10.1)$$

$$g_t = {}_g g_{t-1} + {}_{gt}, \tag{10.2}$$

$$\Gamma_t^{W} = r \Gamma_{t-1}^{W} + r t, \tag{10.3}$$

where $z_t = \ln(Z_t/Z)$, $g_t = \ln(G_t/G)$, $r_t^w = \ln(R_t^w/R^w)$. The variables *Z*, *G*, and R^w are the steady state values of productivity, government expenditures, and world return. The innovations z_t , g_t , and r_t are uncorrelated zero-mean random variables with variances $\frac{2}{Z}$, $\frac{2}{q}$, and $\frac{2}{r}$.

The model is solved using a pseudo-planner's problem. The pseudo-planner chooses consumption, hours worked, investment, and asset holdings to maximize the representative

consumer's expected lifetime utility (1) subject to the constraints given by equations (2)

2.2 Parametrization

The system of equations that characterizes the equilibrium does not yield an analytical solution. The equilibrium must be approximated using numerical methods. For this, we employ the log-linear approximation method described in King, Plosser, and Rebelo (2002). This method linearizes the equations that characterize the equilibrium around the deterministic steady state equilibrium. This linearization requires that values be assigned to all parameters.

(2001).

3. Results

In this section, we first study the theoretical properties of the small open economy model. We then compare the empirical properties of the model to those of post-1975 Canadian data.

3.1 Dynamic Responses

To understand the di erent versions of the model, we first document the dynamic responses of some key variables to the di erent shocks.

Figure 1 displays the dynamic responses in all three versions of the model. The shocks come from positive one-standard deviation innovations to productivity, government expenditures, and the world interest rate. The key variables are the logarithm of output $y_t = \ln(Y_t/Y)$, the current account (to output ratio) $x_t = X_t/Y_t - X/Y$, and the interest di erential $d_t = R_t - R_t^w - D$, where *Y*, *X*, and *D* are the steady state levels of output, the current account to output ratio, and the interest di erential.

At first glance, Figure 1 suggests that the economy is driven mostly by productivity shocks. The responses of the variables are the largest after the productivity shock, small after a government expenditures shock, and almost inexistent after the world interest rate shock. Also, the three versions generate dissimilar responses after the productivity shock, but very similar responses after a government expenditures shock and after a world interest rate shock.

In the Baseline version, an increase in productivity initially raises output, deteriorates the current account, and (with a period lag) raises the interest di erential. The higher productivity stimulates both aggregate saving and investment, but saving does not rise enough to fully fund the investment boom. The result is a deterioration of the current account. The deterioration worsens the country's net foreign asset position and eventually pushes up the interest di erential. Over time, the investment boom subsides, the current account improves, and the interest di erential returns to its steady state. An increase in government expenditures generates a deterioration of the current account, and an eventual reduction in output and an increase in the interest di erential. Importantly, the shock does not immediately a ect output. As discussed in Devereux, Gregory, and Smith (1992) and Letendre (2004), this occurs because GHH preferences ensure that output depends only on productivity and the (predetermined) capital stock:

$$Y_t = \frac{(1 - 1)^{(1 - 1)/(1 - (1 - 1))}}{Z_t K_t} Z_t K_t$$
(13)

That is, output does not initially react because neither productivity nor the capital stock initially respond to the increase in government expenditures. The higher government expenditures reduce both aggregate saving and investment, but the e ect is larger on saving. The result is a deterioration of the current account. As before, the deterioration eventually worsens the net foreign asset position and raises the interest di erential. Facing higher expected home interest rates, firms reduce investment to lower the capital stock. This eventually lowers output. Over time, the increase in government expenditures subsides, the current account improves, and the interest di erential returns to its steady state.

Finally, an increase om the world interest rate improves the current account. It eventually lowers output and reduces the interest di erential. The increase in the world interest rate makes foreign saving more attractive, and this improves the current account. The improvement of the current account also improves the net foreign asset position, which lowers the interest di erential. The home interest rate, however, is raised, as the rise in the world interest rate dominates the reduction in the interest di erential. Facing higher expected home interest rates, firms reduce investment to lower the capital stock, which eventually lowers output. Over time, the increase in the world interest rate subsides, the current account deteriorates, and the interest di erential returns to its steady state.

In the Debt-Output Ratio version, an increase in productivity also raises output and deteriorates the current account. The increase in productivity, however, reduces the interest di erential. As in the Baseline version, the higher productivity generates a deterioration of the current account, which worsens the net foreign asset position. This, however, does not increase the interest di erential, because the interest di erential is a function of the debt to output ratio. The increase in output works to reduce the interest di erential, while the worsening of the net foreign asset position works to raise the interest di erential. Overall, the rise in output dominates, and the productivity shock generates an initial reduction in the interest di erential. As in the Baseline version, an increase in government expenditures generates an eventual and negligible reduction in output, an initial small deterioration of the current account, and an eventual small increase in the interest di erential. Also, an increase in the world interest rate eventually reduces output, improves the current account, and eventually reduces the interest di erential.

In the Habit Formation version, an increase in productivity again raises output, but the rise in output is accompanied by an improvement in the current account and an eventual reduction in the interest di erential. The increase in productivity raises saving by more than investment. This occurs because the habit formation motive forces the consumer to smooth consumption. That is, the increase in productivity raises consumption, but little to avoid the hangover that a future large reduction in consumption would bring. The result is that saving rises more than investment. The improvement in the current account also improves the net foreign asset position, and this eventually reduces the interest di erential. As in the Baseline version, an increase in government expenditures generates an eventual and negligible reduction in output, an initial small deterioration of the current account, and an eventual small increase in the interest di erential. An increase in the world interest rate eventually reduces output, improves the current account, and eventually reduces the interest di erential.

These responses hint at important predicted features. First, these responses suggest that the economy is driven mostly by productivity shocks in all three versions. The responses of the key variables are the largest after the productivity shock, small after a government expenditures shock, and almost inexistent after the world interest rate shock. Second, the importance of productivity shocks suggests that output is more volatile than model, but not clearly so in the Debt-Output Ratio version and in the Habit Formation version. Fourth, the importance of productivity shocks also suggests that the current account is countercyclical in the Baseline version and the Debt-Output Ratio version, but procyclical in the Habit Formation version. That is, the large initial positive response of output is accompanied by a deterioration of the current account in the Baseline version and in the Debt-Output Ratio version, but an improvement of the current account in the Habit Formation version. Fifth, although this is less clear because of the lag, the interest di erential appears procyclical in the Baseline version and countercyclical in the Debt-Output Ratio version and in the Habit Formation version. The initial response of output is accompanied by an eventual rise in the interest di erential in the Baseline version, but a sharp current reduction in the Debt-Output Ratio version and an eventual reduction in the Habit Formation version.

Overall, the dynamics of the model's key variables provide intuition behind the predicted business cycle features of output, the current account, and the interest di erential.

3.2 Business Cycle Features

We now compare the business cycle features of post-1975 Canadian data to those of the three versions of the small open economy model. The Canadian quarterly data is fully described in the Data Appendix. In the data, we construct the di erent variables to reflect

developed countries, where the weights reflect the country's share of the overall real output of the 10 countries. The variables are detrended as in Hodrick and Prescott (1997).

Table 1 reports the salient features of the business cycle fluctuations of consumption, investment, the current account, and the interest di erential. These features are presented for Canadian data and the three di erent versions of the model. The table shows relative volatility and contemporaneous correlations. The relative volatility corresponds to the ratio of the sample standard deviation of a variable to the sample standard deviation of output. The correlations are the sample contemporaneous correlation between a variable and output.

In the Canadian data, consumption, the current account and the interest di erential are all less volatile than output. Investment, however, is more volatile than output. In addition, consumption, investment, and the interest di erential are procyclical, while the current account is countercyclical.

The simulated statistics from the Baseline version replicate those of the Canadian data remarkably well. That is, consumption, the current account, and the interest di erential are less volatile than output, but investment is more volatile than output. Also, consumption, investment, and the interest di erential are procyclical, while the current account is countercyclical. The main discrepancies are that the current account is not as volatile as in the data, and that the interest di erential is much more volatile than in the data. The simulated relative volatility of the current account is only 25 percent that of the historical relative volatility. The simulated relative volatility of the interest di erential is 2.7 times larger than the historical relative volatility.

The simulated statistics for the Debt-Output Ratio version do not replicate those of the Canadian data very well. Recall that the model assumes that the interest di erential is a function of the net foreign asset position to output ratio, instead of simply the net foreign asset position. The influence of output on the interest di erential appears to deteriorate the ability of the model to explain the Canadian data. In particular, the added output more than doubles the already too large relative volatility of the interest di erential. The result is that the simulated relative volatility of the interest di erential is now 5.4 times larger than the historical relative volatility. In addition, adding output implies that the simulated interest di erential wrongly becomes countercyclical.

The simulated statistics for the Habit Formation version also do not replicate those of the Canadian data well. The main benefit of the habit formation assumption is to raise the too low relative volatility of the current account. The simulated relative volatility is now 53 percent that of the historical relative volatility. This benefit, however, comes at a high cost. The assumption of habit formation seriously reduces the relative volatility of consumption, while raising that of the interest di erential. The simulated relative volatility of the interest di erential is 5.4 times larger than the historical relative volatility. The habit formation assumption also lowers the procyclicality of consumption and the interest di erential, while it wrongly makes the current account procyclical.

To further explore the comovements between output, the current account, and the interest di erential, Figure 2 displays the dynamic cross-correlation functions between these variables. It shows the cross-correlations between the current account to output ratio and the interest di erential, between output and the current account, and between output and the interest di erential. The di erent panels present both the historical cross-correlations and the simulated cross-correlations produced by the di erent versions of the model.

In the Canadian data, the cross-correlation function between the current account and the interest di erential forms an asymmetric shape, reminiscent of a clock-wise rotated S or a horizontal S. That is, the correlations between lags of the current account and the interest di erential are negative, but the correlations between leads of the current account and the interest di erential are positive, with the turning point occuring at the two-quarter lead. The cross-correlation function between output and the current account also has an asymmetric shape. The correlations between leads of output and the current account are mostly positive, while correlations between leads of output and the current account are negative. The turning point occurs at the two-period lag. Also, the current account is a leading indicator of the business cycle (i.e. the largest absolute correlation appears at the 1 period lead). Finally, the cross-correlation function between output and the interest di erential resembles a bell with a peak at no leads or lags (the contemporaneous correlation). That is, the interest di erential is a coincident indicator of the business cycle. The simulated cross-correlation functions for the Baseline version again match those of the Canadian data remarkably well. The model predicts a sharp S curve for the crosscorrelation function between the current account and the interest di erential. In particular, the predicted correlations between lags of the current account and the interest di erential are negative, and the correlations between leads of the current account and the interest di erential are positive. The turning point, however, occurs at the contemporaneous correlation. The model also predicts a sharp asymmetric shape for the cross-correlation function between output and the current account. The correlations between lags of output and the current account are positive, while correlations between leads of output and the current account are positive. The turning point again occurs at the contemporaneous correlation. Finally, the cross-correlation function between output and the interest di erential resembles a bell with a positive peak at the two-quarter lag.

The simulated cross-correlation functions for the Debt-Output Ratio version fail to match those of the Canadian data. The model does not predict an asymmetric S-curve features of the Canadian data.

3.3 Robustness

We finally verify the robustness of the business cycle statistics produced by the Baseline version of the model. For this purpose, we conduct several experiments with alternative parametrizations of key parameters in the Baseline version. Unless otherwise indicated, we let = 0.393 as in the Baseline parametrization, instead of varying to match the relative volatility of investment. The di erent experiments are reported in Table 2 and Figure 3.

The first experiment verifies the e ects of changing the coe cient of relative risk aversion. For this experiment, we retain the baseline calibration, but lower the coe cient to 1 -

Finally, the last experiment verifies the e ects of changing the responsiveness of the interest di erential to the net foreign asset position. We lower the responsiveness to = 0.001 and raise it to = 0.01. These values are consistent with those found in Lane and Milesi-Ferreti (2002) and used in Devereux and Smith (2003). The increase in the responsiveness raises the relative volatility of the interest di erential and lowers the relative volatility of the current account. It also makes the current account more counter-cyclical. Finally, the increase in the responsiveness has little e ects on the cross-correlation functions.

In sum, these experiments confirm that changes in the parametrization do not substantially improve the fit of the Baseline version of the small open economy model.

4. Conclusion

The analysis of the current account and the real interest di erential have been important, but separate enterprises. This is surprising, because current accounts and interest rates should jointly adjust to ensure the equilibrium of the world capital market.

For post-1975 Canadian data, we have documented the joint behavior of output, the current account, and the interest di erential at the business cycle frequency. We have also interpreted the joint behavior using a simple small open economy model. Our simple model assumes that agents have access to world international financial markets, but face country-specific interest rate on their holdings of world assets. In our framework, the interest di erential depends negatively on the country's net foreign asset position.

The small open economy model of Canada is admittedly simple, and can easily be extended. Here is a list of extensions. First, the empirical work in Baxter (1994) suggests that business cycle fluctuations in the real exchange rate are linked to fluctuations in the real interest di erential. A potential extension to our analysis would be to explore this link as part of a small open economy model. Second, the empirical work in Lane and Milesi-Ferreti (2002) specifies that the real interest di erential is negatively related to the net foreign asset position to exports ratio. A simple extension would be to verify whether this improves the ability of the small open economy model to explain the business

cycle fluctuations of the current account and the interest di erential. This requires that the model distinguishes between imports and exports, which is similar to the model in Senhadji (1997). Third, the empirical and theoretical work in Normandin (1999) suggests that current account deficits and government budget deficits are linked and form twin deficits. Another extension would be to study the relation between the government budget, the current account, and the interest di erential.

Data Appendix

The quarterly seasonally adjusted measures are constructed for Canada over the 1975-I to 2001-II period. The measures are computed from the International Financial Statistics (IFS) released by the International Monetary Funds, as well as the Main Economic Indicators (MEI) and the Quarterly National Accounts (QNA) published by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

A.1 Output

Output is measured by the weighted nominal gross domestic product (GDP) in national currency (source: QNA), deflated by the all-item consumer price index (CPI) for the baseyear 1995 (source: MEI). Following Backus, Kehoe, and Kydland (1992), the output weight is a constant chosen to match the average of our quarterly values of output in 1985 to the yearly data on real GDP obtained from the international prices for 1985, reported by Summers and Heston (1988) (source: variables 1 and 2 in their Table 3).

A.2 Current Account

The current account is the product of the output weight, the nominal current account in US dollars (source: IFS), and the nominal exchange rate of national currency units per US dollar (source: IFS), divided by the CPI. The current account is further regressed on quarter dummies, because published current-account data are not seasonally adjusted.

A.3 Interest Di erential

The interest di erential is the di erence between the Canadian interest rate and the world interest rate. The country-specific interest rate is the nominal interest rate minus the expected inflation rate. The nominal interest rate is the one-quarter interbank rate (source: IFS). The expected quarterly inflation rate is the one-quarter ahead forecast formed from a univariate ARMA(1,1) process. The world interest rate is the sum of the country-specific interest rates weighted by the country's share of the total output of 10 developed countries. As a group, these countries account for 55 percent of the overall 1990 real gross domestic product of the 116 countries for which data are available in the Penn World Tables (Mark 5.6a). The individual countries are Australia, Austria, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States. Germany refers to West Germany and Unified Germany for the pre- and post-1990 periods.

A.4 Consumption, Investment, and Government Expenditures

Consumption is the output weight times nominal private final consumption expenditures in national currency (source: QNA), deflated by the CPI. Investment is the output weight times nominal gross fixed capital formation in national currency (source: QNA), deflated by the CPI. Government expenditures are the output weight times nominal government final consumption expenditures in national currency (source: QNA), normalized by the CPI.

A.5 Productivity

Total factor productivity is constructed from the production function (3) using the capital share = 0.

References

- King, R.G., C.I. Plosser, and S.T. Rebelo, 2002, Production, growth and business cycles: Technical appendix, *Computational Economics* **20**, 87–116.
- Mehra, R. and E.C. Prescott, 1985, The equity premium: A puzzle, *Journal of Monetary Economics* **15**, 145–161.
- Nakagawa, H., 2002, Real exchange rates and real interest di erentials: Implications of non-linear adjustment in real exchange rates, *Journal of Monetary Economics* **49**, 629–649.
- Nason, J.M. and J.H. Rogers, 2002, The present-value model of the current account has been rejected: Round up the usual suspects, mimeo UBC.

	Relative Volatility				Correlation			
	С	i	X	d	(<i>c</i> , <i>y</i>)	(<i>i</i> , <i>y</i>)	(<i>x</i> , <i>y</i>)	(<i>d</i> , <i>y</i>)
Data	0.72	2.57	0.53	0.17	0.83	0.78	-0.15	0.54
Baseline	0.80	2.57	0.13	0.46	0.99	0.98	-0.42	0.44
	(0.00)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.05)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.07)	(0.06)
Debt-Output Ratio	0.80	2.57	0.13	0.91	0.99	0.98	-0.46	-0.90
	(0.00)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.07)	(0.02)
Habit Formation	0.17	2.57	0.28	0.91	0.42	0.99	0.97	0.14
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.08)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.04)

Table 1. Business Cycle Statistics

Note: Entries under relative volatility and correlation refer to the standard deviation of the variable relative to the standard deviation of y and to the contemporaneous correlation between variables. Entries in parenthesis are the standard deviations of the business cycle statistics. The variables are the detrended logarithms of output (y), consumption (c), and investment (i), as well as the detrended ratio of the current account to output (x), and the detrended interest di erential (d). The detrending method is the Hodrick-Prescott filter. The interest di erential is constructed from ex-ante real interest rates, using a one-quarter ahead predicted inflation rate from an ARMA(1,1) process.

Figure 1. Dynamic Responses



Shock: Productivity

Quarters



Figure 2. Cross-Correlation Functions

Note: The solid lines are the cross-correlations computed from the Canadian data. The dashed lines correspond to the cross-correlations predicted by the three versions of the model.



Figure 3. Cross-Correlation Functions: Sensitivity of the Baseline Parametrization