DISCUSSION PAPERS IN ECONOMICS

Working Paper No. 00-01

Does Evolution Solve the Hold-up Problem?

Tore Ellingsen Department of Economics, Stockholm School of Economics Stockholm, Sweden

Jack Robles Department of Economics, University of Colorado at Boulder Boulder, Colorado

January 2000

Center for Economic Analysis Department of Economics



University of Colorado at Boulder Boulder, Colorado 80309

© 2000 Tore Ellingsen, Jack Robles

Does Evolution Solve the Hold{up Problem?

1 Introduction

ppprilpb_ pgplpl rkr

II r f r pp r r r g pp g p r b l p p b p l II r l p k r p p b f b r g pp g g p b p a a l r p b r r k r p p b r p b p p p b r g pp g p b b p r l r pg p f r r b b k b f ll pg a p, • w f b r g pp g p b p l l g p r f a l r r • w f p l b r l p p b p g p r f p r

 1b gb b
 p
 p
 p
 p
 1

 1b gb b
 r
 p
 p
 f
 r
 p
 p

 gg b
 gprl rp
 p
 gg
 f
 p
 p
 p
 p

 p
 p
 p
 p
 gg
 f
 p
 p
 p

 p
 p
 p
 p
 gg
 f
 p
 p

 f
 p
 p
 p
 gg
 f
 p

 b
 p
 p
 p
 gg
 f
 p

 b
 b
 p
 p
 gg
 f
 p

 f
 b
 p
 p
 f
 p
 p

 f
 b
 p
 p
 f
 p
 p

 f
 b
 p
 f
 p
 p
 p

 f
 b
 p
 p
 p
 p
 p

 p</

p p pp pp bl r pl l pr br l p g p r pl p Wr p pg b p ((r r p p br p b b p r l r br (b r l b p r pk b b r pg r pr l b llp pr g (r ll br r pl l r p b b p p p r r b p p f r l l r p b b p p p r r b p p k b (r r p p p (r l l r p r b p p k b (r r p p p f r l l r p b r pg r pr b l r p b p l b r b p b r pg r pr b l p r p b p l r p b p k b r pg r pr b l p r p p r b r l l (p p p r p l r p b b p k

2 Investment and Bargaining

br r l r A p B b l _ g g p g l l r A b p p p / r p $\Psi_{-} \{ -I_0; I_1; ...; I_N - I \}$ b p p r p (V / g b] r r g p W !! p r r p r g p g g p p] b b

s go of por ll popo borgo plos lo popolo pogo bolo plos ll sobo lo sort los lo f go of p

3 Evolution

l pr pl r fr p fr p r pg b p b l p f b b r g r r p l p r p r b p r pp p f b b r g p r p r p r r p b f b l p pg p r r p pg l v p r l b p l v p pg l v b p p b r fr p g p l v p l v p l

Assumption 1 (i) The pie division is small: V $I_{i} > -$. (ii) The population is large: V I*

b gp b (μ) b (μ) b (μ) b (μ) r 1 r p (μ) p (μ) p (μ) 1 (μ r g 1 p r p (μ) r p p p p random mutation. p r p (μ) p (μ) p (μ) p (μ) b 1 (μ) r g (μ) p
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{b} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{b} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{g} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{b} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{g} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{g} \quad \mathbf{p} \quad \mathbf{g} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{j} \quad \mathbf$$

D

•mpx^L • b (pgpp] pBbpgb mpb llp gpp] pA bpgb•rp mp (rm b p]]

Proposition 3 Let agents bargain according to the Nash demand game. The outcome m is locally stable if and only if $m_{i} \in \{ I^*; V \mid I^*_{i} - x; x_{i} \}$, where $x \leq x^L$.

Theorem 1 An equilibrium μ is stochastically stable if and only if no other equilibrium has lower stochastic potential.

 \mathbf{p}_{t}^{f} рb þ p p р p p] • p b p \mathbf{p} •^r \mathcal{P} p p pp g p •^y f^{II} ji P р b p Ţ1 р р p]]] p р Q] Ъ 1 11 p þ þ Ţ**P** Ţ, \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P} р] **b**]]]]]] 11-1]] þ pgb Ţ. Х 11 p þ VΙ p В Ţ. р Х D \mathbf{p} 11] <u>n</u> ្ឋា р Ъ r pg þ •r р \mathbf{p} $X < X^{NBS}$ 1 þ р ار $/* = : \mathbf{b}$ þ V \mathcal{P} þ Χ $> \chi^{V_{NBS}}$ Ъ Х <u>-</u>) में म X p p •r •r р pg b b р n gh \bullet^r r x,; s \mathbf{p} 1 þ p p \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P} р р В 1 þ l∎r.g pg p] p **r** \mathbb{P} ДЪ. 1 þ p p \mathcal{P} р р АЪр I:V I р Ţ.]] P þ pg þ р ll m F p p þ •r p f p p•r p **b b** pgl Ь p р V Ŋ p f

$$p \bullet \qquad b \qquad f \qquad x_{i} > r \qquad x_{i} > r \qquad b \qquad p \qquad r \qquad x_{i} > x^{L} \qquad p \qquad y_{i} \qquad y_{i}$$

p p b p b r p p p p l l r p
8

S p b r g p g p r p g b r l f b l p p g p p I^{H} b b $V I^{H}_{0} - I^{H}$

priverse bebbler læpror bpr pp pr perpg pror ropor p per perpg pror ropor p per loporgor p pp borp rgg beborgppg pp g pl

Appendix: Proofs

\W	•r	• ^r	pg	• ^r	р	ŗ	‰ Q	p	_b	f	_II•
	b ^f !!	יי ר	_p _g	•']	pg r	D P	‰ Q _{\)} ₽ • ^r • ^r	• ^r	•r	P	

Lemma 1 Let $z_1 < z_2 ::: < z$ be demands in $D \mid_{i}$ for some $l \in \Psi$. Assume that the set of demands following l for agents in the relevant population is $\{z_l\}_l$

p r^form pb Bb ppp b pg g \mathbb{P}_{\bullet}^{r} \bullet^r $l pg l; y_{ij} p p$ ΡA \mathbf{p} → A g l •r ⊥• •F р b ppp b Pg b $g p l p g l; y_{i}$ b p p r pg þ g lor p / p b pp 🗆

Lemma 4 Let μ' (${}^{\textit{w}}\mu'_{\mathcal{N}} = \{ 1'; y'; x'_{\mathcal{N}} \}$) be an equilibrium. If $I \not \perp I'$ and $y - I \ge y' - I'$, then the population can get from μ' to an equilibrium μ with ${}^{\textit{w}}\mu_{\mathcal{N}} = \{ 1; y; x_{\mathcal{N}} \}$ through a sequence of single mutation transitions.

[(st3 1 Tn)411

g ć ll pg pp pp ć / b b ll b p l ć ll pg pg /////// p l pć pp pl b

Lemma 5 The number of mutations required to get from an equilibrium with outcome $I^*; y; x_0$ with $x \le x^L$ to an equilibrium with outcome $I; V = -; -_0$ is $r \times_{0} = \mathfrak{P} \{r | r > N \nmid - \frac{\hat{V} - \delta - \hat{I} + I^*}{V^* - V}\}$. **•** r < f, $\mathfrak{P} \{r | r > N \nmid - \frac{\hat{V} - \delta - \hat{I} + I^*}{V^* - V}\}$. **•** r < f, $\mathfrak{P} \land \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P} = r \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P} = r \land \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}$, $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{$

р		pg gp	b pg b r	p p]	Ър.	₽ ₽	p þr	
]	pg	● ^r I	bpgbr glpgfrr] _b	\bullet^r	\bullet^r	p 🗆	

Lemma 6

(i) If μ is an equilibrium with outcome $I^*; y; x_{\gamma}$ and $x < \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{P}\{x^M; x^{NBS}\};$ the easiest transition away from $\[mu]_{\chi} Sappender 0.9482 2.2 F7283m)-343 (with)-3832a$

Lemma 7 From an outcome $I^*; y; x_0$ the easiest transition in which investment is at all times e-cient, but which ends with different demands, is to an outcome $I^*; y'; x'_0$ where $x_{i-} x - -; x_{i-};$ or $V^* - -$.

Lemma 8

(ii) If x_{i-} – then moving from x to

Lemma 11 Let surplus be divided by the ultimatum game. The component with the subgame perfect outcome, $I^H; V^H - x^{\max} I^H , x^{\max} I^H$ is a subset of the unique locally stable set.

Lemma 12 Let surplus be divided by the ultimatum game. Agents in population A receive a payofi of at least $V^H - I^H - x^{max} I^H_{\ \ \ }$ in every equilibrium.

• f b b r b p gp l f r p $g/^{H}$ p f p gp pA r r pgl b pb b l b pgbp pp $/^{H}$

Lemma 13 Let surplus be divided by the 'ultimatum' game. If $V I_{ij} - I - x \ge V^H - I^H - x^{\max} I^H_{ij}$ then there exists an equilibrium μ such that $\mu \in \Theta^L$ and $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \mu_{ij} - I; V I_{ij} - x; x_{ij}$

r (, pp fr pp)/ p □
b f r f p pp)/ p □
b g p b b]_ g l r p b p b r r g l r p b b b
p r r p b l pp pr pp b r b p
g l r p r r p b l r b f b r l pp
r f f r p , r p pp)/ p / k b b b
p l l l l b b g p l p / (b b pp g l b

6 References

Economic Theory 8 Economic Journal ; 8 I'r 🖉 s 1 🤉 Review 🕺 Economic Studies nomic Behavior 1.88 Journal of Economics [] 8 M · Im m i r m f, p j k m g b l f · p m Theoretical Population Biology The seconomic Behavior & of Political Economy pr Econometrica
 Image: Problem

 Imag and Organization

Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Aninplications, Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Anif Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Anif P P P P Econometrica if f P P P Econometrica if f P P P J P P I P P J Ournal of Economic Theory I I P P P J P P Review of Economic Studies I P P P P J P P Review of Economic